# Yield Performance of Different Irrigation Methods and Sulphur Sources on Lentil, Chickpea and Mustard

S.K. Singl , A.K. Singh & R.K. Batta'

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during 2002-2003 to study the performance of different irrigation methods and sulphur sources on the yield of lentil, chickpea and mustard in silty clay loam soils. Four methods of i.rigation viz., rainfed, surface irrigation (check-basin), Low Energy Water Application (LEWA) device and sprinkler irrigation as main plot treatments, and three sources of sulphur viz., SSP @ 20 kg S ha", SSP @ 10 kg ha" + Granular Sulphur @ 10 kg ha", Granular Sulphur @ 20 kg S har and control as sub-plot treatments were evaluated in a split plot design replicated thrice. Five cm irrigation scheduled at 50% depletion of available moisture content was applied 35 days after sowing for all the crops under surface irrigation. Almost all growth attributes, yield attributes and yields of all three crops were significantly superior when irrigation was provided by LEWA over sprinkler irrigation and surface irrigation. LEWA irrigation produced 1.65, 1.59 and 1.54 t had grain yield of lentil, chickpea and mustard, respectively, which was 20% higher over surface method. LEWA irrigation could save 30 to 50 per cent energy requirement over surface method. Among the sulphur sources, application of SSP @ 10 kg S hat + Granular Sulphur @ 10 kg S hat was significantly superior over Granular Sulphur (a 20 kg S had and produced 16% higher grain yield of mustard. Lentil and chickpea grain yields were at par and were 15 per cent higher over other treatments, respectively. The interaction effect of methods of irrigation and sources of sulphur was non-significent. Hence, for obtaining higher yields of lentil, chickpea and mustard, these crops may be irrigated by LEWA along with application of SSP @ 10 kg S ha" + Granular Sulphur @ 10 kg S ha".

Key words: Yield performance, irrigation methods, pulse and oilseed crops, growth, yield attributes.

### INTRODUCTION

The yield of lentil, chickpea and mustard is very low because they are grown on marginal soils with poor technology. The area under these crops is not likely to go up in the near

ICAR Research Complex For Eastern Region, WALMI complex, P.O. Phulwari Sharif - 801 505.
 Patna (Bihar).

future. This is also true for the irrigation resources along with the competition with other food crops. Increasing the productivity of pulses and oilseeds is the only feasible option though increasing the crop productivity demands higher inputs, which are also costly and limited. Now the challenge before us are to increase the pulses and oilseed production, at least double it, in next decade so as to feed the fast growing population along with maintenance of soil health in the long run. Their yields can be increased considerably, if little irrigation are applied along with sulphur applications. The opportunity for increasing the water use efficiency at farm level lies in adopting suitable method of water application. Application efficiency and uniformity of water application (distribution efficiency) are the important factors affecting the spatial and lumped storage of water in the root zone of a cropped field and hence needs to be given due consideration in selection of an irrigation system. The sprinkler system is suitable for almost all crops and also provide greater control over application rate than do furrow or other surface method of irrigation. However, constraint in its adoption is high-energy requirement. In India farm helding of the majority of the farmers is very small. Extensive check basin irrigation to different crops is being practiced in Haryana, Punjab, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and B har. The average width of the check basins in these states varies between 6 to 8 m. Majority of the growers comprising of large number of small and marginal categories still forced to practice surface methods of irrigation incurring not only huge wastage of water but also different form of energy used for pumping. Considering the conditions of 3 nall holders, there is urgent need to test low cos; water and energy efficient device i.e., LEWA which offers more uniform (>95%), application of water at low pressure (0,2.0,4 kg cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Sulphur deficiency is becoming widespread due to continuous use of sulphur free fertilizers, coarse textured soil, low organic matter in soil, crop rotation including pulses and oilseeds, high- yielding varieties, intensive multiple cropping system, high sulphur requiring crops, leaching and erosion losses. Presently, sulphur deficiency is widespread in Indian soils and is on the rise. For pulses and oilseed; RAU, Pusa has already recommended basal application of 20 kg S harl for S-deficient fields of Bihar. SSP is the usual fertilizer containing 12% S serves to fill up the deficiency to some extent. All sources except elemental S and pyrites contain S in the water-soluble, readily available sulphate (SO,"). This form is also the form in which plant roots absorb S. The choice of the fertilizer should be based upon agronomic suitability, timely local availability, ease of transport, and prices. Granular Sulphur is readily available in Bihar in pure form containing 90% S which is not lost as runoff with irrigation water in the fields. It has good compatibility with other fertilizers. However, the market price of SSP and Granular Sulphur is at par. Hence their field evaluation is necessary to understand yield performance of different irrigation methods and sulphur sources on lentil, chickpea and mustard.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during 2002-2003 at farm of ICAR-RCER, Patna. The treatments consisted of four methods of irrigation viz., rainfed, surface irrigation

(check-basin), Low Energy Water Application (LEWA) device and sprin der irrigation in main plots, whereas three sources of sulphur viz., SSP @ 20 kg S hard, SSP @ 10 kg hard Granular Sulphur @ 20 kg S hard and control as sub-plot treatments were evaluated in a split plot design replicated thrice. The recommended doses of fertilizers (pulses: 20 kg N hard and 40 kg P2O3hard in the form of urea and SSP, mustard. 30 kg N hard and 40 kg hard each of P2O3 and K2O as basal dose and rest 30 kg N hard as top dressing at the pod formation stage) were followed. Five cm irrigation scheduled at 50% depletion of available moisture content was applied 35 days after sowing for all the crops under surface irrigation. A total of ".2 cm rainfall was received during the crop season. 'Narendra Masur -1' ' RAU-52' and 'Pusa Bold' varieties of lentil, chickpea and Indian mustard respectively were sown on 5 November. The soil of the experimental field was silty clay loam in texture with pH-7.0, medium in available N (296 kg hard), rich in available K2O (385 kg hard) and low in available S. The top 15 cm soil layer had a bulk density of 1.52 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, field capacity 37.0% and permanent wilting point 17% on oven dry basis.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Lentil

The results revealed that irrigation methods had a marked effect on growth attributes like plant height and dry matter accumulation per plant, over rainfed one with exception of 1000-seed weight. Yizid attributes like number of pods per plant, grain weight per plant and grain yield was significantly superior when irrigation was provided by LEWA over sprinkler irrigation at d surface irrigation. However, the straw yield and number of grains remained at par between each LEWA and sprinkler methods of irrigation. 1000-seed weight was maximum when S was applied through SSP + Granular Sulphur which was significantly higher over all other sulphur sources. The number of grains per plant, grain weight per plant and grain yield was also maximum in case of S5P + Granular Sulphur which was statistically at par with Granular Sulphur alone. (Table 1).

# Chickpea

Irrigation methods had a marked effect on all the growth, yield attributes and yield. But there was no significant difference in 1000-seed weight indicating that it is genetically controlled and varietal character. Yield was signinificantly higher with SSP+ Granular Sulphur over other sulphur sources but at par with Granular Sulphur alone. Next in order was SSP, which was at par with Granular Sulphur. However, with use of SSP+ Granular Sulphur all other growth and yield attributes were significantly superior over all other sources except that of 1000-seed weight (Table 2). Non-significant difference in 1000-seed weight has also been reported by Shivkumar (2001).

### Mustard

Number of pods per plant, grain weight per plant and yield was significantly higher under LEWA, which was significantly at par with sprinkler with the exception of grain

weight per plant. However, irrigation methods failed to achieve significant difference for growth viz., plant height and dry matter accumulation per plant and yield attribute i.e., number of grains per plant. Significantly higher yield as well as growth and yield attributes were recorded when S was applied through SSP+ Granular Sulphur, which was at par with Granular Sulphur alone (Table 3). Sharma (1994) and Khanpara et al (1993) also reported increased yield of Indian mustard through sulphur application.

Table 1

Effect of Different Irrigation Methods and Sulphur Sources on Growth

Yield Attributes and Yield of Lentil

Yield Attributes and Yield of Lenth								
Treatment	Plant Feight (cm)	Dry matter accumulation	Grain	Pods plant <sup>i</sup> (No.)	Grain weight plant' (g)	1200- zeed wzight (g)	Yield ( Grain	kg ha') Straw
Irrigation Method Rainfed Surface Sprinkler LEWA CD 5%	30.13 35.00 36.54 38.30 NS	6.52 6.65 6.78	90.61 92.58 93.77 94.68 1.43	91.40 95.33 96.36 97.30 0.69	1.57 1.68 1.77 1.84 0.04	17.63 17.80 18.14 8.17 0.23	1088 1379 1597 1650 36.18	1172 1350 1469 1532 131
Sulphur Sources SSP No S SSP+Granular Sulphur Granular Sulphur	34.8 33.8 36.4	3 5.77 1 7.03	92.63 90.64 94.62 93.75	94.79 92.89 96.92 95.78 NS	1.70 1.61 1.83 1.73 0.11	17.74 17.39 18.52 18.08 0.22	1285 1252 1698 1477 227	1315 1261 151 143 N

Table 2

Effect of Different Irrigation Methods and Sviphur Sources on Growth

Yield Attributes and Yield of Chickpea

Tield Attitibutes and				Grain	1000-	Yield (kg ha')	
	Dry matter accumulation plant <sup>1</sup> (8)	Grain plant <sup>†</sup> (No.)	Pods plant <sup>l</sup> (No.)	weight plant 1 (g)	seed weight (g)	Grain	Straw
45.13 46.43 47.33 47.73 1.16	9.63 9.79 9.96 10.09	81.36 83.91 84.23 85.79 0.81	43.88 45.67 46.15 47.25 0.87	19.73 20.71 21.68 22.56 0.33	1.55 1.56 1.55 1.56 NS	1028 1331 1562 1587 24	1412 1583 1602 1633 52
45.61 47.67	9.47 7 10.28	83.05 80.58 86.16	45.02 43.63 48.19 46.10	20.93 19.99 22.02	1.56 1.54 1.56	1233 1197 1647 1432	1519 1473 1660 157
	45.13 46.43 47.33 47.73 1.16 46.63 45.61 47.67	45.13 9.63 45.13 9.63 46.43 9.79 47.73 10.09 1.16 0.15 46.63 9.69 45.61 9.47 47.67 10.28	eight accumulation plant (no.) (g)  45.13 9.63 81.36 46.43 9.79 83.91 47.33 9.96 84.23 47.73 10.09 85.79 1.16 0.15 0.81 46.63 9.69 83.05 45.61 9.47 80.58 47.67 10.28 86.16 46.71 10.04 85.50	45.13 9.63 81.36 43.88 46.43 9.79 83.91 45.67 47.73 10.09 85.79 47.25 1.16 0.15 0.81 0.87 46.63 9.69 83.05 45.02 45.61 9.47 80.58 43.63 47.67 10.28 86.16 48.19 46.71 10.04 85.50 46.10	### Plant Dys allow   Plant   Plant   Weight   Plant   (Cm)   Plant   (No.)   Plant   (No.)   Plant   (Ro.)   Plant   Plant   (Ro.)   Plant   Plant	### Dry milation plant' (No.) plant' (No.) plant' (No.) plant' (g) weight (g)  45.13 9.63 81.36 43.88 19.73 1.55 46.43 9.79 83.91 45.67 20.71 1.56 47.73 10.09 85.79 47.25 22.56 1.56 47.73 10.09 85.79 47.25 22.56 1.56 1.16 0.15 0.81 0.87 0.33 NS  46.63 9.69 83.05 45.02 20.93 1.56 45.61 9.47 80.58 43.63 19.99 1.54 47.67 10.28 86.16 48.19 22.02 1.56  46.71 10.04 85.50 46.10 21.73 1.56	### Dyymates   Plant   Plant   Plant   Weight   Seed   Weight   We

Table 3

Effect of Different Irrigation Methods and Sulphur Sources on Growth
Yield Attributes and Yield of Mustard

Treatment	Plant Dry matter		Grain	Pods	Grain	1000-	Yield (kg ha¹)	
	height (cm)	accumulation plant <sup>†</sup> (g)	plant <sup>i</sup> (No.)	plant <sup>i</sup> (No.)	weight plant' (g)	seed weight (g)	Grain	Straw
Irrigation Metho	ds -							
Rainfed	175.96	51.11	18.95	279.58	7.38	3.98	1000	3626
Surface	177.18	52.38	19.24	284.33	7.49	4.02	1300	3720
Sprinkler	180.4-2	50.91	19.83	287.08	7.59	4.04	1530	3810
LEWA	182.21	50.81	20.25	290.08	7.64	4.04	1543	3848
CD 5%	NS	NS	NS	4.78	0.02	NS	17.17	116
Sulphur Sources								
SSP	175.03	51.28	17.55	267.83	7.44	4.03	1210	3658
No S	171.03	49.97	16.81	264.42	7.23	3.89	1150	3449
SSP+Granular	185.95	52.76	22.47	307.17	7.77	4.15	1615	3955
Sulphur		EC ADVISORCE						2475720
Granular Sulphur	183.7	5 51.20	21.43	301.67	7.67	4.02	1390	3842
CD 5%	2.2	0.95	1.70	6.00	0.11	0.08	224,31	10.

LEWA irrigation could save 30 to 50 per cent energy requirement over surface method. Singh et al (2004) have also observed superiority of LEWA over sprinkler method on accounts of its enegy and cost requirement. Among the sulphur sources, application of SSP @ 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + Granular Sulphur @ 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly superior over Granular Sulphur @ 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and produced 16% higher grain yield of mustard. Lentil and chickpea grain yields were at par and were 15 per cent higher over other treatments, respectively. The interaction effect of methods of irrigation and sources of sulphur was non-significant.

It can be inferred from the results that for obtaining higher yields of lentil, chickpea and mustard, these crops may be irrigated by LEWA along with application of SSP @ 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + Granular Sulphur @ 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### REFERENCES

- Khanapara, V.D., Porwal, B.L., Sahu, M.P. and Patel, C. (1993), Effect of Sulphur and Nitrogen on Yield Attributes and seed Yield of Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea*) on Vertisol. Indian Journal of Agronomy 38 (4): 588-592.
- Singh, S.R. Singh, A.K., Islam, A. and Bharali, M.A. (2004), Low Energy Water Application Device, Team of Excellence on Pressurized Irrigation Systems (NATP), ICAR-RCER, WALMI Complex, Phulwari Sharif, Patna. Bulletin No. R-11/PAT-3.
- Sharma, J.P. (1994), Response of Indian Mustard (Brassica juncea) to Different Irrigation Schedules, and Nitrogen and Sulphur levels. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 39(3): 421-425.
- Shivkumar, B.G. (2001), Performance of Chickpea (Cicer arietunum) Varieties Influenced by Sulphur with and Without Phosphorus. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 46 (2): 273-276.